

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,423	05/23/2001	Michael Bourbeau		3867
75	90 06/28/2002			
Matthew J. Peirce, Esq.			EXAMINER	
1550 Starlight Canyon Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89123			NGUYEN, CHI Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	· <del>• =</del>
		DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A			
V	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Symmony	09/864,423	BOURBEAU, MICHAEL			
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
T. MANUA DATE of this communication com	Chi Q Nguyen	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 h	<u>1ay 2001</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 May 2001</u> is/are: a)□					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informati	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/864,423

Art'Unit: 3637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show numbering labels for structural elements as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodrich (US 5,311,713).

Goodrich discloses a pole protector comprising a cover 15 having a length, a width, first, second sides, first, second edges, thickness 1/16"-1/8", fabricated from flexible plastic or any suitable plastic may be used, being wrapped around column 34, removably fastener means are hook-and-loop disposed at the edges 30 and 32 of the first section 12 and the second section 14. (See figs. 1-2, cols. 2-3).

Application/Control Number: 09/864,423

Art Unit: 3637

Goodrich does not disclose expressly and specifically the cover having a thickness of at least ½", the length would be approximately 8' and the width would be approximately 11.5". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the desirable length and width, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Krackeler et al. (US 4,585,607), Walsh (US 5,586,838), Fawley (US 5,924,262), Isley (US 5,218,810), Neuner (US 6,363,681), Ban (US 6,085,484), Carter (US 5,791,391), Erickson (US 5,438,812), Fayle (US 6,176,062), Gillispie et al. (US 6,242,070), Burns (US 5,746,031), Pease (US 3,831,941), JP (4-89970) teach column covering elements.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1224, Monday-Thursday (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached at (703) 308-2486. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

6/21/02

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamamai